OCT O 1 2002 PE

In re Application of: Kesselmans, et al.

Serial No.: 09/743,826

Confirmation No.: 8612

Filed: February 27, 2001

Attorney Docket No. 294-96 PCT/US

I hereby certify this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail, postpaid in an envelope, addressed to:

16234

For: OXIDATION OF STARCH Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231 on September 26, 2002 COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS 1006 DOORECEIVED Washington, DC 20231 OCT 04 2002 Sir: Transmitted herewith is an Amendment in the above-identified application. TECH CENTER 1600/2900 Small entity status of this application under 37 C.F.R. 1.9 and 1.27 has been established by a verified statement previously submitted. A verified statement to establish small entity status under 37 C.F.R. 1.9 and 1.27 is enclosed. No additional fee is required. The fee has been calculated as shown below: OTHER THAN A SMALL ENTITY SMALL ENTITY (Col. 2) (Col. 1) (Col. 3) OR CLAIMS HIGHEST PRESENT RATE ADDL. RATE ADDL. REMAINING FEE NUMBER **EXTRA** FEE **AFTER PREVIOUSLY AMENDMENT** PAID FOR \$ \$ TOTAL MINUS = 0 x 9= x 18 =OR \*\*\* 3 \$ \$420.00 \* 8 = 5 x 84 =INDEP. MINUS x 42 =\$ \$ x 140= x 280 =□] FIRST PRESENTATION OF MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIMS TOTAL \$ 0.00 TOTAL \$ 420.00 If the entry in Column 1 is less than the entry in Column 2, write "0" in Column 3. If the "HIGHEST NUMBER PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR" in this space is less than 20, write "20" in this space. If the "HIGHEST NUMBER PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR" in this space is less than 3, write "3" in this space. The "HIGHEST NUMBER PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR" (Total or Independent) is the highest number found from the equivalent box in Column 1 of a prior amendment or the number of claims originally filed. Please charge my Deposit Account No. 08-2461 in the amount of \$\_\_\_\_. A duplicate copy of this sheet is attached. M A check in the amount of \$420.00 is attached.  $\boxtimes$ The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees or additional fees associated with this communication or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 08-2461. A duplicate copy of this sheet is attached. 冈 Any filing fees under 37 C.F.R. 1.16 for the presentation of extra claims.  $\bowtie$ Any patent application processing fees under 37 C.F.R. 1.17.

HOFFMANN & BARON, LLP 6900 Jericho Turnpike Syosset, NY 11791 (516) 822-3550 Respectfully submitted,

Lauren T. Emr

mr

Registration No. 46,139



## BEST AVAILABLE COPY

OCT 0 4 2002



## TECH CENTER 1600/2900

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant(s)

Kesselmans, et al.

Examiner:

**Everett White** 

Serial No.:

09/743,826

Group Art Unit:

1623

Confirmation No:

8612

Docket:

294-96 PCT/US

Filed:

February 27, 2001

Dated:

September 26, 2002

For:

OXIDATION OF STARCH

Commissioner for Patents Washington, DC 20231

I hereby certify this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail, postpaid in an envelope, addressed to:

Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231

on September 26, 2002

Signature: Will Kill

## **AMENDMENT**

Sir:

In response to an office action mailed June 26, 2002, Applicants submit the following amendments and remarks for entry in the above-referenced application:

IN THE CLAIMS:

Please cancel elaims 1-8 and 10-14;

Please add new claims 15-31 as follows:

15. A process for the oxidation of a starch, comprising

i. treating a root or tuber starch comprising at least 95 wt.% of amylopectin, based on dry substance of the starch, with an alkali metal hypochlorite, at a pH between 6.5 and 8.5, to form an oxidized starch product; and

ii. subjecting the exidized starch product to an alkaline treatment, wherein the alkaline treatment comprises keeping the oxidized starch product at a temperature of 20-50°C and a pH higher than 10, for at least 15 minutes.

10/03/2002 HMOHAMM1 00000024 09743826

420.00 DP

01 FC:102